



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/528,844	03/20/2000	David Tompkins	013.0082	5410	
7:	590 12/19/2002				
Erik B Cherdak & Associates LLC			EXAMINER		
11300 Rockville Pike Suite 906 Rockville, MD 20852			PARTON,	PARTON, KEVIN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153		
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	r=				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/528,844	TOMPKINS, DAVID			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Parton	2153			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become the second seco	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 March 2002</u> is/are: a		·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	ity documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6 	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the at least one second software package" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "the," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds the maximum allowed number of words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Drawings

- 4. The drawings are objected to because of the following:
 - a. Figure 1 has no label.
 - b. In figure 1, the element text is illegible.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/528,844

Art Unit: 2153

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the at least one second software package" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

6. Claim 19 recites the limitation "at least one second software package" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 11, 13-15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gish (USPN 6,272,556) in view of Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578).
- 9. Regarding claim 1, Gish (USPN 6,272,556) teaches a distributed server administration system comprising:
 - a. A server configured to be accessed via an electronic data network and to store and serve at least one first software package via the electronic data network, wherein the at least first one software package corresponds to at least one software system of the server (abstract; column 5, lines 50-60; column 18, lines 13-27). Note that in the reference, the server holds a plurality of client and server code segments. Upon client request for server communication, the server sends the client code to the client. The code is executed at the client and communication with the corresponding code on the server is initiated.

Art Unit: 2153

b. A client configured to access the server, to receive the at least one first software package, and to execute the at least one first software package in conjunction with the corresponding at least one software system of the server (column 18, lines 13-27).

Although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose specifically means wherein the client is an administrator and the execution of the at least one first software package allowing the server to be administered by the client administrator via the electronic data network.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556), as evidenced by Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578).

In an analogous art, Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) discloses a system for distributed management of network elements including servers with means wherein the client is an administrator and the execution of the at least one first software package allowing the server to be administered by the client administrator via the electronic data network (column 3, lines 54-55, 61-67; column 8, lines 49-55; column 9, lines 7-11). Note that in the reference, programs are distributed to clients for the operation and management of a program that exists on a server.

Given the teaching of Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) by employing the specific use of an administration program. Gish (USPN 6,272,556) does not specify the type of program, but the advantage of using this system with server administration is obvious. By distributing the necessary components for server administration,

Art Unit: 2153

the addition of new administration clients is made faster and easier. Also, any change of server software will not affect the function of the administrator.

10. Regarding claim 2, Gish (USPN 6,272,556) teaches all the limitations as applied to claim

1. She further teaches means comprising an administrative server configured to store and serve a plurality of second software packages, wherein at least one second software package corresponds to the software system of the server, and the client administrator is further configured to access the admin server based on the reference, to receive the at least one second software package, and to execute the at least one second software package in conjunction with the at least one software system of the server (column 5, lines 50-60; column 18, lines 14-26). Note that in the reference, the server contains a plurality of client code segments. Any one client could download multiple code segments to communicate and act upon applications residing on the server.

Although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the at least one first software package contains a reference corresponding to at least one second software package from the plurality of second software packages, and to execute the at least one second software package in conjunction with the at least one software system of the server, the execution of the at least one first software package and the second software package allowing the server to be administered by the client administrator via the electronic data network.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556), as evidenced by Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578).

Art Unit: 2153

In an analogous art, Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) disclose a system for distributed use and administration of server software wherein the at least one first software package contains a reference corresponding to at least one second software package from the plurality of second software packages (column 8, lines 11-14), and to execute the at least one second software package in conjunction with the at least one software system of the server, the execution of the at least one first software package and the second software package allowing the server to be administered by the client administrator via the electronic data network (column 8, lines 49-54; column 9, lines 7-11). Note that in the reference, an initial program sent to the user points to a plurality of applications available on the server. A second program can be used for management of the software on the server. Both this administration module and the client user module can be used in conjunction to administer the target application on the server.

Given the teaching of Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) by employing the use of two or more separate downloadable pieces of software for the administration of the server application. This benefits the system by allowing different levels of administration to be available to different types of users. Also, it can cut down on unneeded network traffic if the initial download is sufficient.

11. Regarding claim 3, although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) (as applied to claim 1) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the server is further configured to store and serve at least one reference to a network address of a second software package, wherein the second software package corresponds to the at least one software system of the server, and the client administrator is further configured to receive the at

Art Unit: 2153

least one network address, to access the at least one network address, to receive the second software package, and to execute the second software package in conjunction with the at least one software system of the server, the execution of the at least one first software package and the second software package allowing the server to be administered by the client administrator via the electronic data network.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556), as evidenced by Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578).

In an analogous art, Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) disclose a system for distributed use and administration of server software wherein the server is further configured to store and serve at least one reference to a network address of a second software package, wherein the second software package corresponds to the at least one software system of the server, and the client administrator is further configured to receive the at least one network address, to access the at least one network address, to receive the second software package, and to execute the second software package in conjunction with the at least one software system of the server, the execution of the at least one first software package and the second software package allowing the server to be administered by the client administrator via the electronic data network (column 8, lines 11-15; column 8, lines 49-54; column 9, lines 7-11). Note that in the reference, the "launcher program" that is sent points to a number of pieces of software residing on the server. The two types of client applications that can be downloaded can be used in concert to administer the software on the server.

Art Unit: 2153

Given the teaching of Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) by employing the use of URLs to point to the other administration software. This benefits the system by allowing the storage load to be moved away from the server to a more suitable platform.

- Regarding claim 4, Gish (USPN 6,272,556) teaches all the limitations as applied to claim

 1. She further teaches means wherein the client administrator is further configured to install and store the at least one first software package (column 18, lines 15-26). Note that in the reference, when the client initiates communication, the server sends the appropriate software to the client and it is installed, stored, and executed.
- Regarding claim 8, Gish (USPN 6,272,556) teaches all the limitations as applied to claim

 3. She further teaches means wherein the client administrator is further configured to install and store the at least one first software package and the second software package (column 18, lines 15-26). Note that in the reference, when the client initiates communication, the server sends the appropriate software to the client and it is installed, stored, and executed.
- 14. Regarding claim 9, although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the second software package contains a reference to a plurality of software packages corresponding to the at least one software system of the server, and the client administrator is further configured to receive and to execute the plurality of software packages in conjunction with the at least one software system of the server.

Art Unit: 2153

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556), as evidenced by Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578).

In an analogous art, Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) disclose a system for distributed use and administration of server software wherein the second software package contains a reference to a plurality of software packages corresponding to the at least one software system of the server, and the client administrator is further configured to receive and to execute the plurality of software packages in conjunction with the at least one software system of the server (column 8, lines 11-14, 49-54; column 9, lines 7-11). Note that in the reference, an initial program sent to the user points to a plurality of applications available on the server. A second program can be used for management of the software on the server. Both this administration module and the client user module can be used in conjunction to administer the target application on the server.

Given the teaching of Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) by employing the use of two or more separate downloadable pieces of software for the administration of the server application. This benefits the system by allowing different levels of administration to be available to different types of users. Also, it can cut down on unneeded network traffic if the initial download is sufficient. The use of URLs benefits the system by allowing the storage load to be moved away from the server to a more suitable platform.

15. Regarding claims 11 and 14, Gish (USPN 6,272,556) teaches a distributed server administration system comprising:

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/528,844

Art Unit: 2153

a. A plurality of servers coupled to an electronic data network, each server of the plurality of servers being configured to store and to serve at least one first software package, the at least one first software package corresponding to the server on which the at least one first software program is stored (abstract; column 5, lines 50-60; column 18, lines 13-27). Note that in the reference, the server holds a plurality of client and server code segments. Upon client request for server communication, the server sends the client code to the client. The code is executed at the client and communication with the corresponding code on the server is initiated.

b. A client administrator configured to access each server in the plurality of servers via the electronic data network, to receive the at least one first software package from each server, and to execute the at least one first software package in conjunction with each server (column 18, lines 13-27).

Although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose specifically means wherein the client is an administrator and the at least one first software package allows the server on which the at least one first software program is stored to be administered by the client administrator.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556), as evidenced by Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578).

In an analogous art, Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) discloses a system for distributed management of network elements including servers with means wherein the client is an

Art Unit: 2153

administrator and the at least one first software package allows the server on which the at least one first software program is stored to be administered by the client administrator (column 3, lines 54-55, 61-67; column 8, lines 49-55; column 9, lines 7-11). Note that in the reference, programs are distributed to clients for the operation and management of a program that exists on a server.

Given the teaching of Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) by employing the specific use of an administration program. Gish (USPN 6,272,556) does not specify the type of program, but the advantage of using this system with server administration is obvious. By distributing the necessary components for server administration, the addition of new administration clients is made faster and easier. Also, any change of server software will not affect the function of the administrator.

16. Regarding claims 13 and 15, Gish (USPN 6,272,556) teaches all the limitations as applied to claims 11 and 14, respectively. She further teaches means wherein at least one second software package corresponds to the server on which the at least one first software program is stored and is located on a server within the plurality of servers, and the client administrator is further configured to receive the at least one second software package (column 5, lines 50-60; column 18, lines 14-26). Note that in the reference, the server contains a plurality of client code segments. Any one client could download multiple code segments to communicate and act upon applications residing on the server.

Although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the at least one first software package

Art Unit: 2153

references at least one second software package. Also, the client is programmed to execute the at least one second software package in conjunction with the at least one first software package to allow the administration of the server on which the at least one first software program is stored.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556), as evidenced by Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578).

In an analogous art, Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) disclose a system for distributed use and administration of server software wherein the at least one first software package references at least one second software package. (column 8, lines 11-14). Also, the client is programmed to execute the at least one second software package in conjunction with the at least one first software package to allow the administration of the server on which the at least one first software program is stored. (column 8, lines 49-54; column 9, lines 7-11). Note that in the reference, an initial program sent to the user points to a plurality of applications available on the server. A second program can be used for management of the software on the server. Both this administration module and the client user module can be used in conjunction to administer the target application on the server.

Given the teaching of Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) by employing the use of two or more separate downloadable pieces of software for the administration of the server application. This benefits the system by allowing different levels of administration to be available to different types of users. Also, it can cut down on unneeded network traffic if the initial download is sufficient.

Art Unit: 2153

- 17. Regarding claims 18 and 19, Gish (USPN 6,272,556) teaches all the limitations as applied to claim 14. She further teaches means wherein the client administrator is further configured to install and store the at least one first software package (column 18, lines 15-26). Note that in the reference, when the client initiates communication, the server sends the appropriate software to the client and it is installed, stored, and executed.
- 18. Claims 5-7, 10, 12, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) as applied to claims 1, 2, 3, 9, 11, 14, and 14, respectively, and further in view of Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437).
- 19. Regarding claim 5, although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) (as applied to claim 1) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein at least one first software package is a JAR file.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), as evidenced by Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437).

In an analogous art, Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437) discloses a system for distributing configuration files and applications to clients wherein at least one first software package is a JAR file (column 10, lines 46 - 48).

Given the teaching of Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) by employing the use of JAR files. According to Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), JAR files benefit the system and specifically "permit developers to take advantage of packaging concepts and decrease download time by utilizing

Art Unit: 2153

compression software and a single transmission connection to download all the resources required by the applet" (column 3, lines 36-41)..

20. Regarding claim 6, although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) (as applied to claim 2) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the at least one first software package and the at least one second software packages are JAR files.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), as evidenced by Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437).

In an analogous art, Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437) discloses a system for distributing configuration files and applications to clients wherein the at least one first software package and the at least one second software packages are JAR files (column 10, lines 46 - 48).

Given the teaching of Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) by employing the use of JAR files. According to Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), JAR files benefit the system and specifically "permit developers to take advantage of packaging concepts and decrease download time by utilizing compression software and a single transmission connection to download all the resources required by the applet" (column 3, lines 36-41)..

21. Regarding claim 7, although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) (as applied to claim 3) shows substantial features of the claimed invention,

Art Unit: 2153

it fails to disclose means wherein the at least one first software package and the second software package are JAR files.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), as evidenced by Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437).

In an analogous art, Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437) discloses a system for distributing configuration files and applications to clients wherein the at least one first software package and the second software package are JAR files (column 10, lines 46 - 48).

Given the teaching of Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) by employing the use of JAR files. According to Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), JAR files benefit the system and specifically "permit developers to take advantage of packaging concepts and decrease download time by utilizing compression software and a single transmission connection to download all the resources required by the applet" (column 3, lines 36-41).

22. Regarding claim 10, although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) (as applied to claim 9) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the at least one first software package and the second software package are JAR files.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), as evidenced by Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437).

Art Unit: 2153

In an analogous art, Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437) discloses a system for distributing configuration files and applications to clients wherein the at least one first software package and the second software package are JAR files (column 10, lines 46 – 48).

Given the teaching of Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) by employing the use of JAR files. According to Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), JAR files benefit the system and specifically "permit developers to take advantage of packaging concepts and decrease download time by utilizing compression software and a single transmission connection to download all the resources required by the applet" (column 3, lines 36-41).

23. Regarding claims 12 and 16, although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) (as applied to claims 11 and 14) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the at least one first software package is a JAR file.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), as evidenced by Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437).

In an analogous art, Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437) discloses a system for distributing configuration files and applications to clients wherein the at least one first software package is a JAR file (column 10, lines 46 - 48).

Given the teaching of Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN

Art Unit: 2153

6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) by employing the use of JAR files. According to Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), JAR files benefit the system and specifically "permit developers to take advantage of packaging concepts and decrease download time by utilizing compression software and a single transmission connection to download all the resources required by the applet" (column 3, lines 36-41).

24. Regarding claim17, although the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) (as applied to claim 14) shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to disclose means wherein the at least one first software package is a JAR file.

Nonetheless, these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578), as evidenced by Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437).

In an analogous art, Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437) discloses a system for distributing configuration files and applications to clients wherein the at least one first software package is a JAR file (column 10, lines 46 - 48).

Given the teaching of Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), a person having ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying Gish (USPN 6,272,556) and Cox et al. (USPN 6,324,578) by employing the use of JAR files. According to Muschett et al. (USPN 6,026,437), JAR files benefit the system and specifically "permit developers to take advantage of packaging concepts and decrease download time by utilizing compression software and a single transmission connection to download all the resources required by the applet" (column 3, lines 36-41).

Art Unit: 2153

Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see the following:

a. Kekic et al. (USPN 6,272,537)

b. Humpleman et al. (USPN 6,466,971)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Parton whose telephone number is (703)306-0543. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703)305-4792. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-9242 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

> Kevin Parton Examiner Art Unit 2153

December 9, 2002

GLENTON B. BURGESS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100